

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,498	02/11/2002	Katsuhisa Ono	09792909-5335	6002
26263	26263 7590 10/24/2003		EXAMINER	
SONNENS P.O. BOX 0	CHEIN NATH & RC	NGUYEN, ANTHONY H		
WACKER I	WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606-1080		2854	

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)		
٠,	, 24 , 24		10/073,498	ONO ET AL.		
•		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
			Anthony H Nguyen	1 200 ,		
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sheet with the correspond nce address						
Pariod for Renly						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	September 2003 .			
	2a)□	This action is FINAL 2b)⊠ TI	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 7</u> is/are rejected.					
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 8</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
A		ion Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
			is: a) approved b) disap	proved by the Examiner.		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
	Attachment(s)					
	1) NO	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
1						

Art Unit: 2854

Applicant's election of Group II, claims 5-9 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Page 2

Accordingly, claims 1-4 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, there is no proper antecedent basis for "the second gear" (line 4) and "the first gear" (line 7).

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

<sup>(</sup>e) the invention was described in-

<sup>(1)</sup> an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

<sup>(2)</sup> a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,498

Art Unit: 2854

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hsich et al. (US 6,315,471).

Hsich et al. teaches a printer having a print head 41 which prints an image on a print sheet 26 via an ink ribbon 32 which is fed from a supply reel or a feed reel 30 to a take-up reel or a winding reel 31, torque-generating means 60 and 61 which generate variable load torques applied to the feed reel and the winding reel and a controlling means 84 which controls the torque-generating means as shown in Figs. 1-3.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for allowance of claims 6 and 8 is that The prior art of record does not teach the first and second sandwiching members which sandwich the first gear and rotate integrally with the shafts for feeding an ink ribbon.

#### Conclusion

The patents to Bernardis et al., Delaporte, Igarashi, Fox, Yamamoto et al., Hunter et al. and Adams et al. are cited but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2869. The examiner can normally be reached daily from 9 AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld, can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anthony Nguyen | Anthony Nguyen | 10/17/03

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800